

South of Perth Yacht Club
Rules Session Monday 22nd July 2019
Christina Heydon (National Judge)

1. Homework handout on 8th July 2019

NEXT SESSION MONDAY 22ND JULY @ 1800
MOCK PROTEST & ARBITRATION

We will be covering Case 2.

The handout on the 8th July included a protest form with a diagram from Case 2 plus Case 2 attached.

The homework is to fill in item 7 on the protest form.

Half the group First names A to L to be Protestor Boat A.

Half the group First names M to Z to be Protestor Boat B.

We have been discussing the items that are relevant for you to present at a protest, these include the wind, sea state, distances between the boats at various positions, zone. Fill in item 7 briefly (not War & Peace!) and make notes separately for presentation as if you are the Protestor.

CASE 2

Rule 12, On the Same Tack, Not Overlapped

Rule 14, Avoiding Contact

Rule 15, Acquiring Right of Way

Rule 18.2(a), Mark-Room: Giving Mark-Room


Rule 18.2(b), Mark-Room: Giving Mark-Room

If the first of two boats to reach the zone is clear astern when she reaches it and if later the boats are overlapped when the other boat reaches the zone, rule 18.2(a), and not rule 18.2(b), applies.

Rule 18.2(a) applies only while boats are overlapped and at least one of them is in the zone.

Facts:

1. A and B were both on port tack, reaching to a mark to be left to starboard. The wind was light.
2. At position 1, when A came abreast of the mark she was clear ahead of B but four-and-a-half hull lengths from the mark. B, which had just reached the zone, was three lengths from the mark.
3. Between positions 1 and 2 A gybed and headed to the mark, becoming overlapped outside B.
4. Between positions 2 and 3, after B had gybed and turned towards the next mark, she became clear ahead of A.
5. When B first became clear ahead of A there was about one-half of a hull length of open water between the boats.
6. A few seconds after B became clear ahead, A, who was moving faster, struck B on the transom.
7. There was no damage or injury.
8. A protested B under rule 18.2(b). B protested A under rule 12. A was disqualified and she appealed.



Decision:

1. A apparently believed that the second sentence of rule 18.2(b) applied when the two boats were at position 1 and that B, then being clear astern, was obliged to give A mark-room.
2. As that sentence states, it applies only if a boat was clear ahead when she reached the zone.
3. At position 1, B had reached the zone, but A was well outside it. Moreover, the first sentence of rule 18.2(b) never applied because the boats were not overlapped when B, the first of them to reach the zone, did so.
4. However, while the boats were overlapped, rule 18.2(a) did apply, and it required A to give mark-room to B. During that time B had to keep clear of A, first under rule 10 and later (after she gybed) under rule 11.
5. After B gybed she pulled clear ahead of A. At that moment rules 18.2(a) and 11 ceased to apply and rules 12 and 15 began to apply.
6. Rule 15 required B initially to give A room to keep clear, and B did so because it would have been easy for A to keep clear by promptly bearing off slightly to avoid B's transom after B became clear ahead.
7. When A hit B's transom, she obviously was not keeping clear of B, and so it was proper to disqualify A for breaking rule 12.
8. A also broke rule 14 because it was possible for her to bear off slightly and avoid the contact with B.
9. After it became clear that A was not going to keep clear of B, it was probably not possible for B to avoid the contact.
10. However, even if B could have avoided the contact, she would have been exonerated under rule 14(b) because she was the right-of-way boat and the contact did not cause damage or injury.
11. The appeal is dismissed, the protest committee's decision is upheld, and A remains disqualified for breaking rules 12 and 14.

